IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT
THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 11374 of 2004

Between:
Gadda Managamma W/o Satyam
Buttaigudem Village & Mandal W.G.District

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Superintendent of Police W.G.District , Eluru
2 The Sub-Divisional Magistrate/Revenue Divisional Officer , Jangareddy gudem, W.G.District
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer Buttaigudem Mandal Buttaigudem, W.G.District
4 The Station HOuse Officer /Sub-Inspector of Police Buttaigudem P.S, Buttaigudem., W.G.District,

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue order direction writ more particularly writ of Mandamus declare the action of the respondents in not taking action on the petitioner's complaint dt. 15/06/2004 as being illegal arbitrary and violative of Article 14 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to take appropriate action against the tribals, who are contemplating to encroach my lands as per the complaints dt. 15/06/2004 given by the petitioner

For the Petitioner:MR.P.R.K.AMERANDRA KUMAR, Advocate

For the Respondent No.: GP FOR HOME

The Court made the following ORDER:

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner aggrieved by the inaction of the respondents in taking appropriate action on the complaint of the petitioner dated 15.06.2004.

2. According to the petitioner, he is a non-tribal and owner of the land in an extent of Ac.3.36 cents in R.S.No.505/2 of Buttaigudem Village and Mandal, West Godavari District, which is situated in the scheduled area. As the petitioner was not being allowed to carry on agricultural operations by the tribals, he made a complaint to the respondents. But the respondents did not take any action. Therefore, the petitioner has come up with the present writ petition.

3. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Home.

4. As the respondents have not taken any action on the complaint of the petitioner dated 15.06.2004, the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondents to take appropriate action so as to give protection to the petitioner and see that he will be allowed to carry on agricultural operations, without there being any interference by the tribals.

5. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of at the admission stage.

-

06.07.2004

va

To

1 The Superintendent of Police, W.G.Dist., Eluru.
2 The Sub Divisional Magistrate/Revenue Divisional Officer, Jangareddygudem, W.G.Dist.
3 The M.R.O, Buttaigudem Mandal, W.G.Dist.
4 The Station House Officer / Sub-Inspector of police, Buttaigudem P.S., Buttaigudem, W.G.Dist.

5 Two CCs to G.P. for Home, High Court of A.P. Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT)
6 Two CD Copies